IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

Writ Petition No. 1098 of 2004 (M/B)

Yunus S/o Zinde Khan,
Partner, Bharat Bhatta,
Landhora, R/o Ward No. 4,
Landhora Town, P.S. Manglaur,
District Haridwar. ……Petitioner

Versus

Commissioner,
Workman Compensation, Haridwar,
& others. …… Respondents

Mr. Navneet Kaushik, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner.
Mr. J.P. Joshi, learned Standing Counsel on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Coram: Hon. V.S. Sirpurkar, C.J.
Hon. Irshad Hussain, J.

(Per Hon'ble the Chief Justice)

1. Heard Mr. Navneet Kaushik, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. J.P. Joshi, learned standing counsel, who accepted the notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

2. The petitioner makes a simple prayer in respect of the recovery, which is on the basis of a claim, having been awarded against him under the Workman Compensation Act, that he has actually paid the whole amount and yet, coercive recovery is done against him.

3. The petitioner is directed to approach the concerned Tehsildar and to convince him by filing the documentary or the oral evidence that the claim is already paid by the petitioner. The concerned Tehsildar, if approached, is requested to dispose of the matter as early as possible.

4. With this observation, we dispose of the writ petition.

(Irshad Hussain, J.) **(V.S. Sirpurkar, C.J.)**
04.11.2004 **04.11.2004**

G